

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N			
10/580,602	05/25/2006	Robert Boizel	MERCK-2822	4960			
	7590 04/26/2007 TE, ZELANO & BRANIG	AN PC	EXAM	INER			
2200 CLAREN	•	BLAND, LAYLA D					
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Addition,	VII 22201		1609				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE				
21.0	AVC	04/26/2007	PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,602	BOIZEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Layla Bland	1609
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	<u>ay 2006</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)	-	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/580,602

Art Unit: 1609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups I-X, claim(s) 1-25 in part, drawn to the use of a derivative of formula (I), having the variables shown below, for the preparation of a medicament,

Groups XI-XX, claim(s) 26-32 in part, drawn to medical compositions comprising a derivative of formula (I), having the variables shown below.

	1	Ш	111	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Χ
	ΧI	XII	XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII	XVIII	XIX	XX
X=O	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓						
X=S						$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
A=-(CH2)x-CO-(CH2)t- and s + t = 0	<b>√</b>					<b>√</b>				
A=-(CH2)x-CO-(CH2)t- and s + t = 1		✓					✓			
A=-(CH2)s-CR3R4-(CH2)t- and s + t = 0			$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$		
A=-(CH2)s-CR3R4-(CH2)t- and s + t = 1				$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	
(unsubstituted methylene is bonded to X)										
A=-(CH2)s-CR3R4-(CH2)t- and s + t = 1					$\checkmark$					✓
(CR3R4 is bonded to X)										

The inventions listed as Groups I-XX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1609

The technical feature linking groups I-XX is a compound of formula (I). Brett, et al. (J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2000, 1095-1103) teach a compound shown below [Scheme 1]:

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-XX does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not definite a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, groups I-XX are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Claims 1-25 provide for the use of a compound of formula (I), but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. The claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Art Unit: 1609

If applicant elects Group I, claims 1-25 in part, clarification of whether the claimed invention is drawn to a method of making a medicament or a method of treating hyperuricemia is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a plurality of compounds defined by formula (I), which has no common core, and a variety of diseases to be treated. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If applicant elects Group I and defines the claims to be drawn to a method of making a medicament, an election of one compound of formula (I) is required. If applicant elects Group II or elects Group I and defines the claims to be drawn to a method of treatment, an election of one compound of formula (I) and one disease or condition to be treated is required.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1609

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Bland whose telephone number is (571) 272-9572. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:00AM-5:00PM UST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call \$00-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ldb

VICKIE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER